124 Woodvale Road, Glen Rock, N.J. 07452 201-445-5418

December 5, 1988

Professor David Roskies Jewish Theological Seminary 3080 Broadway New York, N. Y. 10027

Dear Professor Roskies:

Enclosed is a copy of C. Ozick's recent article in Commentary on Edo and Enam, in case you missed it.

While I find her interpretation plausible and interesting, I do not understand what it has to do with the suggestion she makes on pp. 43-44 that translation of great works from Hebrew into a non-Hebrew language may not be as culturally safe or aesthetically valid as the other way, as to which Agnon said (about Bellow) "He is safe."

Indeed, it seems to me she proves the opposite:

admittedly she has read Edo and Enam in an English translation, and
that has not prevented her from an understanding of it with which
she seems quite comfortable: as a story about the evil, death and
exile associated even with the most beautiful pagan art (emphasizing
passion, nature, i.e. Hellenism) when it is implanted as a "foreign"
element in the holy, monotheistic environment of Eretz Yisroel.
Moreover, when the material is foreign in substance, as pagan ideas

and rites are, it is not saved even when translated into Hebrew, as occurs in Edo.

Thus, the bottom line seems to be that when literary material has an authentic Jewish message, translation even from Hebrew to English (etc.) is worthwhile, and when the material is "foreign" to Jewish ideas and values even translation into Hebrew will not help it.

The opening two pages about translation in one direction or another are thus, it seems to me, a "red herring".

Sincerely

Lippman Bodoff

LB: amg

Enclosure