MEET THE FACULTY

The Seminary's faculty is recognized for its distinction throughout the world, yet our younger and newer members are shining lights whose presence is understandably less known. In this new series, PROGRESS BULLETIN will remedy that situation by providing in-depth profiles of some relative newcomers to our faculty.

DR. DAVID G. ROSKIES

Dr. David G. Roskies, Assistant Professor of Jewish Literature at the Seminary, is a native of Montreal. He attended Brandeis University where he received his bachelors, masters, and doctoral degrees in Near Eastern and Judaic Studies. From 1971 to 1974 he was a Kent Fellow, a fellowship awarded by the Danforth Foundation, and received a Canada Council Doctoral Fellowship. He also taught at Brandeis' Hiatt Institute in Jerusalem before joining the Seminary faculty in 1975.

Dr. Roskies traces his commitment to Yiddish literature back to his upbringing. His family home was a Yiddish literary salon visited by many of the great Yiddish writers of this century. He recalls, "It was an environment in which Yiddish was not relegated to jokes, but represented the highest achievements of Jewish secular culture."

He went to Yiddish Day School, where the legacy of Montreal Yiddish culture made a deep impact on him. "The teachers emphasized the indivisibility of Yiddish and Hebrew culture," he says. "They were Hebraists and Yiddishists at the same time...The man I consider my Rebbe was a scholar of Midrash. He spent his life translating it from Hebrew-Aramaic into Yiddish.'

When Dr. Roskies entered Brandeis, it was axiomatic to him that he would study classical and modern Hebrew as well as Yiddish, for it was all part of the same continuum: "Historically Ashkenazic Jewry was internally bilingual. Hebrew fulfilled certain functions, Yiddish the others. Both were needed. The war between Yiddish and Hebrew actually began only in 1908. My teachers in Montreal were true to the historical reality of their tradition."

In analyzing why he did not rebel against this tradition like so many others of his generation, he reflects, "There was no need to rebel because it was presented to me as something to aspire toward, not as a lowly immigrant phenomenon.'

Since his upbringing was secularist and pluralistic, Dr. Roskies came to a religious orientation much later. The turning point for him, as for Dr. Resnick in a different context, was his junior year in Israel in 1967-1968. He recalls, "I came back to the States with a very strong need for community. In Israel I had experienced what it means to be part of a living organism. Up to that point I viewed myself as a "cosmopolitan Jew," somewhat like the writer George Steiner, a master of all cultures but belonging to none - the intellectual who draws his strength from being on the margins of society. I decided



David G. Roskies

photo by Arnold Katz

tl

re

re

fa

the

The crucial experience for Dr. Roskies during his trip to Israel was discovering that Israel was the legitimate heir of the culture in which he had grown up. "What struck me most," he says, "was the East European roots of the society. I responded in an immediate way, for it was like coming home.'

Dr. Roskies teaches an introductory survey course in the Seminary college, "The Yiddish Foundations of Modern Hebrew Literature." Taught in Hebrew, the objectives of the course are to illustrate points of contact betwen the two cultures, to show the unique impact that the East European experience had on Jewish lives. Recently he has generated a new interdisciplinary course for the Rabbinical School: "Responses to Catastrophe in Jewish Tradition." The course brings together various disciplines: historic, Halakhic, liturgical, psychological and theological. Among the 15 lecturers are Chancellor Gerson D. Cohen; Dr. Mortimer Ostow, Chairman of the Seminary's department of pastoral psychiatry; and theologians from Union Theological Seminary who speak of the Christian response to the Holocaust.

The objective of the course (which was first suggested by Rabbi Neil Gillman) is "to train the student to bring various disciplines to bear on a single issue," Dr. Roskies says. "That is in fact what a Rabbi has to do in the field."

The course has succeeded in involving rabbinical students, who have led some of the workshops. The concluding class was open to the public, and was held on Yom Hashoa. The program was divided into four workshops: Paradigms, which dealt with Kiddush Hashem, Prayer, which examined the place of catastrophe in liturgy, Play - a dramatic presentation by a student, and Parallels, which explored the

form, "arising out of the succe failures of the first year.'

Another advanced rabbinic taught by Dr. Roskies is " Methodologies in Jewish Literatu course attempts to define the Jewish literature by looking at

offered again next semester in a

emphasized the indivisibility of Yiddish and Hebrew culture," he says. "They were Hebraists and Yiddishists at the same time...The man I consider my Rebbe was a scholar of Midrash. He spent his life translating it from Hebrew-Aramaic into Yiddish.'

When Dr. Roskies entered Brandeis, it was axiomatic to him that he would study classical and modern Hebrew as well as Yiddish, for it was all part of the same continuum: "Historically Ashkenazic Jewry was internally bilingual. Hebrew fulfilled certain functions, Yiddish the others. Both were needed. The war between Yiddish and Hebrew actually began only in 1908. My teachers in Montreal were true to the historical reality of their tradition."

In analyzing why he did not rebel against this tradition like so many others of his generation, he reflects, "There was no need to rebel because it was presented to me as something to aspire toward, not as a

lowly immigrant phenomenon."

Since his upbringing was secularist and pluralistic, Dr. Roskies came to a religious orientation much later. The turning point for him, as for Dr. Resnick in a different context, was his junior year in Israel in 1967-1968. He recalls, "I came back to the States with a very strong need for community. In Israel I had experienced what it means to be part of a living organism. Up to that point I viewed myself as a "cosmopolitan Jew," somewhat like the writer George Steiner, a master of all cultures but belonging to none — the intellectual who draws his strength from being on the margins of society. I decided after my trip to Israel that in order to understand Jewish life I had to be on the inside of it. The timing of my return to America turned out to be just right. Chavurat Shalom was just being formed then in Boston and I joined it. Through it I began to experience the religious dimensions of being Jewish — living the calendar cycle. I remember that we went on a Sukkoth retreat. I had always viewed Sukkoth as a children's holiday. I had never experienced it as an adult, or thought it had anything to say to me as an adult Jew. This applied as well to Shabbat, to keeping kosher, and the nature of prayer. It was a very experimental, flexible atmosphere in the chavurah in those days. You could shape it and be shaped by it."

in an immediate way, for it was like coming home."

tl

Je

a

fe

R

re

fa

the

nea

Dr. Roskies teaches an introductory survey course in the Seminary college, "The Yiddish Foundations of Modern Hebrew Literature." Taught in Hebrew, the objectives of the course are to illustrate points of contact betwen the two cultures, to show the unique impact that the East European experience had on Jewish lives. Recently he has generated a new interdisciplinary course for the Rabbinical School: "Responses to Catastrophe in Jewish Tradition." The course brings together various disciplines: historic, literary, Halakhic, liturgical, psychological and theological. Among the 15 lecturers are Chancellor Gerson D. Cohen; Dr. Mortimer Ostow, Chairman of the Seminary's department of pastoral psychiatry; and theologians from Union Theological Seminary who speak of the Christian response to the Holocaust.

The objective of the course (which was first suggested by Rabbi Neil Gillman) is "to train the student to bring various disciplines to bear on a single issue," Dr. Roskies says. "That is in fact what a Rabbi

has to do in the field."

The course has succeeded in involving rabbinical students, who have led some of the workshops. The concluding class was open to the public, and was held on Yom Hashoa. The program was divided into four workshops: Paradigms, which dealt with Kiddush Hashem, Prayer, which examined the place of catastrophe in liturgy, Play - a dramatic presentation by a student, and Parallels, which explored the Black Death and World War One. The discussion of Kiddush Hashem (sanctification of the Name) dealt with the question of whether the traditional categories defining Kiddush Hashem were applicable to the Holocaust. The play, written and directed by the same student, dramatized the moral questions raised by the course. A mock trial was staged in which God was put on trial for breach of contract in light of the Holocaust. The most remarkable aspect of the open workshop, according to Dr. Roskies, was the participation of students from the Union Theological Seminary. Their presence was the occasion for a significant dialogue between students from both seminaries.

Dr. Roskies says that the course will be

offered again next semester in a ditterent form, "arising out of the successes and failures of the first year."

Another advanced rabbinical course taught by Dr. Roskies is "Critical Methodologies in Jewish Literature." This course attempts to define the scope of Jewish literature by looking at types of stores from diverse cultures that have universal Jewish themes — i.e. the subject of Jew and gentile in Yiddish, Hebrew, Russian and American Jewish fiction. The aim, he explains, is "to make literature accessible to the Rabbi, so that he will be able to talk about literary problems."

The author of many articles and translations, Dr. Roskies has also published Nightwords: A Midrash on the Holocaust, The Yiddish Source Finder: A Guide for the Student (co-authored with Mordkhe Schaechter), and The Shtetl Book (coauthored with Diane K. Roskies). He is presently at work on a book about Jewish literary responses to catastrophe in the 20th century.

relegated to jokes, but represented the highest achievements of Jewish secular culture."

He went to Yiddish Day School, where the legacy of Montreal Yiddish culture made a deep impact on him. "The teachers emphasized the indivisibility of Yiddish and Hebrew culture," he says. "They were Hebraists and Yiddishists at the same time...The man I consider my Rebbe was a scholar of Midrash. He spent his life translating it from Hebrew-Aramaic into Yiddish."

When Dr. Roskies entered Brandeis, it was axiomatic to him that he would study classical and modern Hebrew as well as Yiddish, for it was all part of the same continuum: "Historically Ashkenazic Jewry was internally bilingual. Hebrew fulfilled certain functions, Yiddish the others. Both were needed. The war between Yiddish and Hebrew actually began only in 1908. My teachers in Montreal were true to the historical reality of their tradition.'

In analyzing why he did not rebel against this tradition like so many others of his generation, he reflects, "There was no need to rebel because it was presented to me as something to aspire toward, not as a

lowly immigrant phenomenon."

Since his upbringing was secularist and pluralistic, Dr. Roskies came to a religious orientation much later. The turning point for him, as for Dr. Resnick in a different context, was his junior year in Israel in 1967-1968. He recalls, "I came back to the States with a very strong need for community. In Israel I had experienced what it means to be part of a living organism. Up to that point I viewed myself as a "cosmopolitan Jew," somewhat like the writer George Steiner, a master of all cultures but belonging to none - the intellectual who draws his strength from being on the margins of society. I decided after my trip to Israel that in order to understand Jewish life I had to be on the inside of it. The timing of my return to America turned out to be just right. Chavurat Shalom was just being formed then in Boston and I joined it. Through it I began to experience the religious dimensions of being Jewish - living the calendar cycle. I remember that we went on a Sukkoth retreat. I had always viewed Sukkoth as a children's holiday. I had never experienced it as an adult, or thought it had anything to say to me as an adult Jew. This applied as well to Shabbat, to keeping kosher, and the nature of prayer. It was a very experimental, flexible atmosphere in the chavurah in those days. You could shape it and be shaped by it.'

The crucial experience for Dr. noskies during his trip to Israel was discovering that Israel was the legitimate heir of the culture in which he had grown up. "What struck me most," he says, "was the East European roots of the society. I responded in an immediate way, for it was like coming home."

tl

re

re

fa

the

Dr. Roskies teaches an introductory survey course in the Seminary college, "The Yiddish Foundations of Modern Hebrew Literature." Taught in Hebrew, the objectives of the course are to illustrate points of contact betwen the two cultures, to show the unique impact that the East European experience had on Jewish lives. Recently he has generated a new interdisciplinary course for the Rabbinical School: "Responses to Catastrophe in Jewish Tradition." The course brings together various disciplines: historic, literary, Halakhic, liturgical, psychological and theological. Among the 15 lecturers are Chancellor Gerson D. Cohen; Dr. Mortimer Ostow, Chairman of the Seminary's department of pastoral psychiatry; and theologians from Union Theological Seminary who speak of the Christian response to the Holocaust.

The objective of the course (which was first suggested by Rabbi Neil Gillman) is "to train the student to bring various disciplines to bear on a single issue," Dr. Roskies says. "That is in fact what a Rabbi

has to do in the field."

The course has succeeded in involving rabbinical students, who have led some of the workshops. The concluding class was open to the public, and was held on Yom Hashoa. The program was divided into four workshops: Paradigms, which dealt with Kiddush Hashem, Prayer, which examined the place of catastrophe in liturgy, Play — a dramatic presentation by a student, and Parallels, which explored the Black Death and World War One. The discussion of Kiddush Hashem (sanctification of the Name) dealt with the question of whether the traditional categories defining Kiddush Hashem were applicable to the Holocaust. The play, written and directed by the same student, dramatized the moral questions raised by the course. A mock trial was staged in which God was put on trial for breach of contract in light of the Holocaust. The most remarkable aspect of the open workshop, according to Dr. Roskies, was the participation of students from the Union Theological Seminary. Their presence was the occasion for a significant dialogue between students from both seminaries.

Dr. Roskies says that the course will be

offered again next semester in a ditterent form, "arising out of the successes and failures of the first year."

Another advanced rabbinical course taught by Dr. Roskies is "Critical Methodologies in Jewish Literature." This course attempts to define the scope of Jewish literature by looking at types of stores from diverse cultures that have universal Jewish themes - i.e. the subject of Jew and gentile in Yiddish, Hebrew, Russian and American Jewish fiction. The aim, he explains, is "to make literature accessible to the Rabbi, so that he will be able to talk about literary problems."

The author of many articles and translations, Dr. Roskies has also published Nightwords: A Midrash on the Holocaust, The Yiddish Source Finder: A Guide for the Student (co-authored with Mordkhe Schaechter), and The Shtetl Book (coauthored with Diane K. Roskies). He is presently at work on a book about Jewish literary responses to catastrophe in the 20th century.