

**Main Identity**

---

**From:** "Alan Mintz" <almintz@JTSA.EDU>  
**To:** <alanmintz@nyc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 27, 2006 11:59 AM  
**Subject:** feinstein

The man's response the more poignant for following directly upon the unnoticed grimace directly engages the question of boundaries. Sonia had spoken of an impersonal sea of sorrows that beyond her in the world and contains her suffering. In a subtle yet rhetorically bold gesture, the man now proposes that her suffering become, or become part of the Hebrew can sustain both readings *this* sea of troubles (l. 11). This is an inland or internal sea of his own rather than the great ocean out there. The man not only accepts the implication of the overflow of pain that the waves cast into his blue eyes; he has willingly taken on himself the disposition of her suffering. In his sea of sorrow, moreover, Sonia's suffering will undergo a kind of transubstantiation. Formerly, it was undifferentiated lumpen pain that was simply another bucket of teardrops added to the universal reservoir.