Arthur Green

1.>16: 10 - 11/1 in p. > > > N x > 0 > > x August 25, 1989

Dear David,

I hope this finds you concluding what has been both a restful and productive summer. We've been up in New England, as usual, and I can report modest success in doing some writing, for which I now have hardly any time at all during the year.

Thanks for sending me the new version of your first chapter, which I very much enjoyed reading. I think the piece has improved tremendously, and sets the stage for what should be a most interesting volume.

I do continue to find myself troubled by a couple of things. Since you were kind enough to ask, let me spell them out for you.

I know that ikh bin aleyn shuldik for telling the Dan Berrigan story, which has now already appeared in print once or twice. I shudder a bit at your presentation of it, largely because I think that by using it so prominently you make too great a link between the war and the founding of the havurah. If you are going to take the havurah seriously - which is precisely what you want to do - the presentation of it as a bunch of draft-dodgers does not seem to be helpful.

Personally, there are three aspects of your characterization of me that continue to bother me:

1. I was not quite a pure "Camp Ramah Jew" by the time of Havurat Shalom, and find myself annoyed at being depicted that way. Of course I was far from coming from the Hasidic world, and you are generally right in your characterization of our collective (including my) search for authenticity. But I was a Jew formed by my grandparents' shul (including a tendancy in my childhood to prefer the company of Yiddish-speaking zekeynim to kids my own age!), by the influence of Glatzer, Altmann, and the Rosenzweig tradition, and by a love affair with studying Hasidic texts which had already been going on for six or seven years by 1968. I had been through both an Orthodox period and a fling with Jewish secularism. I just don't see myself as a kid from Ramah who "spent time in a Trappist monastery...and took LSD". LSD was in fact important in my own development, but only in the year or so before Havurat Shalom, and long after I was already studying Jewish mysticism.

2. My role as "charismatic leader" is considerably more complicated than you indicate. The fact was that I certainly had aspects of "charisma" in that role, and was not at all at peace with them. I fought being placed in the role of leader at least as much as I enjoyed it. Even in those days I felt a sharp contrast between my role and that of Zalman Schachter, who thoroughly enjoyed "playing rebbe". I did not see myself as doing that, and often went to great lengths to avoid it. I did not lead the tisch on any regular basis, did not usually lead davnen, etc. So I would like you to make some reference to the hesitancy of whatever charismatic role you think I had.

3. I honestly don't think I had anything like an "all-but-total identification" with Nahman of Bratslav. Of course this may be the way you saw things, but I'm afraid I have to say that was the creation of your imagination, not mine. I was studying Nahman among others, and was teaching his writings only among others. There were things I found attractive about Bratslav, to be sure, but I was also frightened and put off by his excessive guilt and preoccupation with sexuality. I found works like Kedushat Levi or Sefat Emet, both of which I frequently taught at the havurah, to be significantly more sane and balanced. In my last couple of years at Havurat Shalom I began working on the dissertation on Nahman, and he was much on my mind. But I do not accept that this was identification, and surely not that such an identification was the source from which I "derived my authority" in Havurat Shalom. I just think that is a real distortion of the historical record. (Of course it may be your own "creative betrayal" of what happened there, but that's another matter...) The best I can suggest on this matter is that you check it with some others who were there and remember - perhaps Barry Holtz or Richie Siegel, both of whom are accessible to you. I think that this is a case where your considerable powers of imagination have framed your memories in ways that are quite inaccurate.

I appreciate having the chance to respond "in advance" in this way. Thank you again for the courtesy.

Meanwhile, kol tuv, and if I weren't too ambivalent I would employ my full charismatic powers to invoke heaven's blessings on you and yours. In any case, you know my heart's in the right place!

your al